1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Michael D. Adams (State Bar No. 18583: madams@rutan.com Sarah Gilmartin (State Bar No. 324665) sgilmartin@rutan.com RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP 611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400 Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931 Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035 Attorneys for Plaintiff AMERICAN AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIA	ATION	
10	UNITED STATES		
11	NORTHERN DISTR		OF CALIFORNIA
12	AMERICAN AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION, INC, a Connecticut	Case	e No.
13	corporation,		
14	Dlaintiff	CON	MPLAINT FOR:
15 16 17	Plaintiff, vs.	1.	FEDERAL SERVICE MARK INFRINGEMENT [15 U.S.C. § 1114(1)(a) AND (b)];
18	ALI NAQI N. AKBARI, dba UNITED TOWING SERVICE, an unknown entity, and DOES 1 through 10,		2. FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN [15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)]; 3. TRADE NAME OR SERVICE
19	inclusive,		MARK DILUTION [15 U.S.C. §
20			1125(c)(1)]:
	Defendants.	4.	.
21	Defendants.	4.	1125(c)(1)]: INJURY TO BUSINESS REPUTATION AND DILUTION [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §
21 22 23	Defendants.	4. 5.	1125(c)(1)]: INJURY TO BUSINESS REPUTATION AND DILUTION [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 14330]; COMMON LAW UNFAIR
21 22 23 24	Defendants.		1125(c)(1)]: INJURY TO BUSINESS REPUTATION AND DILUTION [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 14330]; COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION AND TRADEMARK
2122232425	Defendants.		1125(c)(1)]: INJURY TO BUSINESS REPUTATION AND DILUTION [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 14330]; COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION AND
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Defendants.	5.	1125(c)(1)]: INJURY TO BUSINESS REPUTATION AND DILUTION [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 14330]; COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION AND TRADEMARK
221 222 223 224 225 226	Defendants.	5.	1125(c)(1)]: INJURY TO BUSINESS REPUTATION AND DILUTION [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 14330]; COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION AND TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

COMPLAINT -1-

2314/017601-0141 14306566.3 a05/19/20

1	Plaintiff American Automobile Association, Inc. (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), fo			
2	its Complaint against the above-named defendants, alleges as follows:			
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
4	1. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. section 1338(a) as this			
5	action arises under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. sections 1114, 1125(a), and			
6	1125(c)(1), as well as under pendent jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. section 1367.			
7	2. This Court also has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. section 1332 because			
8	Plaintiff and defendants are citizens of different states, and the matter in controvers			
9	exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.			
10	3. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California under 28 U.S.C.			
11	section 1391(b) and (c) because defendants reside in this judicial district, a			
12	substantial part of the events, omissions and acts that are the subject matter of this			
13	action occurred within the Northern District of California, and defendants are			
14	subject to personal jurisdiction and may be found in this district.			
15	<u>PARTIES</u>			
16	4. Plaintiff is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the			
17	State of Connecticut, located and doing business at 1000 AAA Drive, Heathrow,			
18	Florida 32746.			
19	5. On information and belief, defendant Ali Naqi N. Akbari ("Akbari") is			
20	an individual residing in Alameda County, California, who does business as United			
21	Towing Service.			
22	6. On information and belief, defendant United Towing Service is an			
23	entity of unknown form with its principal place of business located at 1113			
24	Greenville Road, Livermore, California 94550. On information and belief, United			
25	Towing Service is an unregistered fictitious business name under which Akbari			
26	conducts business.			
27	7. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that each of the defendants			

named herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, performed, participated in, or abetted

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

12. Plaintiff owns the famous mark of the red and blue arrow design featured on the sides of tow trucks and other emergency services vehicles, U.S. Reg.

Plaintiff's mark.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

and Complaint in the First Action. Nevertheless, Defendants did not appear in or

otherwise defend against the First Action.

27

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

deliberate intent to trade on the goodwill of Plaintiff's AAA Striping Mark, and

- 20. Despite their failure to appear, in November 2019 Defendants contacted Plaintiff about the First Action, stating that they would remove the AAA Striping Mark from all tow trucks, as well as removing all images from their website of the tow trucks with the AAA Striping Mark.
- 21. After Plaintiff followed up with Defendants, Defendants responded on December 2, 2019 with images of a single tow truck with the striping pattern only partially removed. Only the blue arrows were removed; the tow trucks still had the distinctive red arrows. Plaintiff informed Defendants' that their minor modification of Plaintiff's trademark is still confusingly similar to the AAA Striping Mark and thus Defendants continued to infringe. Defendants never responded. A copy of this correspondence is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.
- 22. In the First Action, Plaintiff moved for entry of default judgment against Defendants, which the Court granted on March 31, 2020, permanently enjoining Defendants from incorporating the AAA Striping Mark in any form or manner that would tend to identify or associate Defendants' businesses or services with Plaintiff.
- 23. Defendants use of a red striping pattern on the sides of its tow trucks is confusingly similar to the AAA Striping Mark. The only difference between the two is that the AAA Striping Mark alternates between red and blue arrows. Both arrow patterns consist of red arrows and are placed in the same position along the sides of tow trucks, which likely to cause consumer confusion and mislead consumers into believed that Defendants' business is approved by AAA when it is not. A copy of Defendants' current website, showing its tow trucks with that pattern, is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.
- 24. Defendants are not authorized to use the AAA Striping Mark in connection with their services, nor are Defendants affiliated with Plaintiff.

Upon information and belief, Defendants' acts are willful with the

25.

1 cause confusion and deception in the marketplace. 2 3 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Federal Service Mark Infringement – 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1)(a) and (b)) 4 Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference each and every 5 26. 6 allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 25above, inclusive, as though fully set 7 forth herein. Plaintiff is the owner of a number of federal trademark and service 8 27. mark registrations and specifically asserts ownership of the following: 10 Registration No. Date of Registration Mark 11 2,693,460 March 4, 2003 STATE OF THE PARTY 12 13 Plaintiff first used the AAA Striping Mark of Registration No. 28. 14 2,693,460 in connection with providing emergency road services at least as early as 15 1997. Plaintiff has continued and expanded use thereof up to the present. Thus, 16 long before the acts complained of herein, motorists and members of the general 17 consumer population in the United States and abroad have recognized the mark as 18 an exclusive source identifier for emergency road services originating from Plaintiff. 19 The registration for the AAA Striping Mark is incontestable under section 15 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1065, and constitutes conclusive evidence of 20 21 Plaintiff's exclusive right to use this mark in connection with emergency road 22 services. 23 29. Plaintiff's registered service mark identified above is valid and 24 subsisting and remains in full force and effect as evidence of the validity thereof and 25 Plaintiff's ownership of the mark in connection with the services specified in the registration. 26 27 30. As a result of the long period of use and extensive advertisement and

sale of services under the AAA Striping Mark, motorists and members of the

- Defendants' acts and conduct constitute federal service mark infringement that has caused and, unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, will continue to cause a likelihood of consumer confusion, mistake, and deception.
- On information and belief, Defendants' acts of service mark infringement in violation of the Lanham Act have caused financial injury and damages to Plaintiff and have been willful, making this an exceptional case within the meaning of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1117, thereby entitling Plaintiff to damages, attorneys' fees, and costs.
- 34. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' actions and conduct, and because such damages alone do not provide Plaintiff with an adequate remedy at law, Plaintiff is also entitled to injunctive relief.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unfair Competition by False Designation of Origin – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 34 above, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
 - 36. Defendants, either independently or through collaboration with one

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 44. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer monetary damage in an amount not thus far determined.
 - 45. On information and belief, Defendants' acts of unfair competition by

26

27

On information and belief, Defendants' use of the AAA Striping Mark,

52.

27

28

which Plaintiff's AAA trade name and service marks are recognized and famous.

1	or a mark confusingly similar thereto, has lessened the capacity of Plaintiff's famous			
2	AAA trade name and service marks to identify and distinguish Plaintiff's goods and			
3	services.			
4	53. On information and belief, Defendants' acts and conduct as alleged			
5	herein have tarnished the reputation and recognition of Plaintiff's famous AAA			
6	trade name and service marks by the low quality of Defendants' services.			
7	54. On information and belief, Defendants' acts of trade name or service			
8	mark dilution in violation of the Lanham Act have caused financial injury and			
9	damages to Plaintiff and have been willful, making this an exceptional case within			
10	the meaning of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1117, thereby entitling Plaintiff			
11	to damages, attorneys' fees, and costs.			
12	55. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and is being irreparably			
13	damaged by dilution of its famous mark, in violation of 15 U.S.C. section 1125(c).			
14	Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief.			
15	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
16	(Injury to Business Reputation and Dilution –			
17	Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 14247)			
18	56. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference each and every			
19	allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 55 above, inclusive, as though fully set			
20	forth herein.			
21	57. Plaintiff is the owner of marks that are distinctive and famous in the			
22	State of California.			
23	58. On information and belief, Defendants have used and continue to use			
24	the famous AAA Striping Mark, or a mark confusingly similar thereto, after			
25	Plaintiff's marks became famous, which dilutes the distinctive quality of Plaintiff's			
26	marks.			
27	59. On information and belief, Defendants' actions described herein were			
28	taken and continue to be taken with full knowledge that such actions would and do			

dilute the AAA marks and with the intention to cause dilution of the marks. 1 2 60. As a result of the actions described herein, Defendants have caused, and unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, will continue to cause irreparable harm, damage, and injury to Plaintiff, including but not limited to injury to 5 Plaintiff's good will and business reputation. 6 61. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law and is being irreparably damaged by defendants' acts in violation of California Business & Professions Code section 14247. 8 FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 10 (Common Law Trade Name and Trademark Infringement, 11 and Unfair Competition) 12 62. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference each and every 13 allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 61 above, inclusive, as though fully set 14 forth herein. 15 63. Defendants' actions and conduct as alleged herein constitute unfair competition under California common law. 16 Defendants' actions and conduct in adopting and using the AAA 17 64. 18 Striping Mark, or a mark confusingly similar thereto, in California constitute trademark infringement under California common law. 19 20 65. Defendants have caused and, unless restrained and enjoined by this 21 Court, will continue to cause irreparable harm, damage, and injury to Plaintiff, 22 including but not limited to injury to Plaintiff's good will and business reputation. 23 66. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law, and Plaintiff is being 24 irreparably damaged by defendants' acts in violation of California common law, 25 entitling Plaintiff to injunctive relief. 26 67. Defendants' acts and conduct as alleged herein are malicious and fraudulent and entitle Plaintiff to punitive damages under Civil Code section 3294.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

2

4 5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

26 27

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for an order and judgment against defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. That Defendants, and each of them, their officers, directors, partners, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through or under them, and any others within their control or supervision, and all others in active concert or participation with the above, be enjoined during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from using the AAA Striping Mark, any mark confusingly similar to the AAA Striping Mark, or any other name or mark incorporating Plaintiff's service marks, either alone or in combination with other words or symbols, in the marketing, sales, distribution, promotion, advertising, identification, or in any other manner in connection with emergency road services and other related services at any locality in the United States;
- 2. That Defendants, and each of them, their officers, directors, partners, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through or under them, and any others within their control or supervision, and all others in active concert or participation with the above, be enjoined during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from using the AAA Striping Mark, any mark confusingly similar to the AAA Striping Mark, or any other name or mark incorporating Plaintiff's service marks in any form or manner that would tend to identify or associate Defendants' businesses or services with Plaintiff in the marketing, sale, distribution, promotion, advertising, identification, or in any other manner in connection with any business;
- 3. That Defendants, and each of them, their officers, directors, partners, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through or under them, and any others within their control or supervision, and all others in active concert or participation with the above, be enjoined during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from representing to anyone

10

11 12

13

14

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

26

28

(either orally or in writing) that their businesses are affiliated with Plaintiff in any way or are approved by Plaintiff;

- For an order requiring Defendants to deliver to Plaintiff's attorney within thirty (30) days after the entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction, to be impounded or destroyed by Plaintiff, all literature, signs, labels, prints, packages, wrappers, containers, advertising materials, stationery, and any other items in their possession or control that contain the AAA Striping Mark or any other name or mark incorporating Plaintiff's service marks, either alone or in combination with other words and symbols;
- 5. For an order requiring Defendants to remove from their business premises and vehicles within thirty (30) days after the entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction, all instances of the AAA Striping Mark and any mark confusingly similar to the AAA Striping Mark, and to destroy all stencils, molds, plates, masters, or means of creating the infringing items;
- 6. For an order requiring defendants to instruct, within thirty (30) days after the entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction, any print directory, Internet directory, or website that they have caused to carry the AAA Striping Mark or any mark confusingly similar to the AAA Striping Mark, including any Yelp or other online profile, to cease using such marks at the earliest possible date;
- 7. For an order requiring Defendants to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve Plaintiff, within thirty (30) days after the entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction, a report in writing, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which defendants have complied with 1 through 6 above;
- 8. For an award of Defendants' profits and Plaintiff's damages in an amount not yet ascertained but believed to exceed \$500,000;
- 9. For an award of three times Plaintiff's damages or Defendants' profits in view of the intentional and willful nature of Defendants' acts, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. section 1117:

1	10. For an award of punitive damages according to proof;		
2	11. For an award of reasonable attorneys' fees under 15 U.S.C. section		
3	1117;		
4	12.	For an award of pre- and post	t-judgment interest at the highest rate
5	allowed by	-	
6	13.		bursements incurred in this action; and
7	14.		Court shall deem just and proper.
8			J 1 1
9	Dated: M	ay 19, 2020	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
10			MICHAEL D. ADAMS
11			By:/s/Michael D. Adams
12			Michael D. Adams
			Attorneys for Plaintiff
13			AMERICAN AUTOMOBILE
14			ASSOCIATION, INC.
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
2	Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues triable to a jury.		
3			
4	Dated: May 19, 2020	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP	
5		MICHAEL D. ADAMS	
6		By:/s/Michael D. Adams	
7		Michael D. Adams Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8		AMERICAN AUTOMOBILE	
9		ASSOCIATION, INC.	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		COMPLAINT	

COMPLAINT -15-

2314/017601-0141 14306566.3 a05/19/20